



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/723,766 | 11/26/2003 | Klaus Klingenbeck-Regn | P03,0471 | 9678 |
| 26574 | 7590 | 01/23/2008 | EXAMINER | |
| SCHIFF HARDIN, LLP PATENT DEPARTMENT 6600 SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606-6473 | | | LAMPRECHT, JOEL | |
| | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | 3737 | | |
| | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | 01/23/2008 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/723,766 | KLINGENBECK-REGN ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Joel M. Lamprecht | 3737 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Joel M. Lamprecht. (3) _____.

(2) Steven H. Noll. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 07 January 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required
4/7/08

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant phoned regarding the rejection in light of both Pitris and Aldefeld, stating a case against the inclusion of Aldefeld. Examiner agrees that Aldefeld teaches away from x-ray as anything but a "stand-by" method. Applicant's case against the Aldefeld et al reference can be filed with a request for reconsideration which Examiner will give full consideration. Briefly a possible amendment was discussed with referee to the inclusion of multiple dependent claims, but no specific wording was brought up.